Preserve

G.R.S. IX—51

Previous references
Police 160-17 & 61-8

19 2
(   )

File No. 176-22

Serial Nos. 1- 2.

Later references.
Pol ag. No. 648-22

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for March 23.

SUBJECT

Bill for Rs 155-10-0 for municipal taxes due on the police lines at Mudigere from 1906-07 to 1919-20.

---

2 To, The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur Dist. No L 66857 d 29.3.23.

States that the claim of the Mudigere municipality for muni taxes on police lines should be disallowed

Printed on the Multigraph.

7.4.23

(143)

Subj.

The I.G. of Police has to for the sanction of Govt. the Police Deptt giving in full circumstances of the case.

The receipt may be transferred to the Police section for needful action

14/9

Yes

14/9

14/9

Police Section)

14/9

G. R. S. 11] 1922

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(~~Police~~)
Ml

NOTES.

176-22
File No. ~~54-22~~

FILE HEADING.

Bill for Rs 155-10-0 for Municipal taxes due on the Police Lines at Mudigere from 1906-07 to 1919-20

From The Deputy Commr, Kadur Dis,

No. O.C. 1578 of 1921-22 Dated 9-9-1922 Received 15-9-1922

Diary No. 1536 Enclos. 2 Spare Copies. — Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that in connection with the above the I. G. of Police has passed Rs 17-8-0 being tax for 1919-20 & requests sanction to the payment of the balance of Rs 138-2-0 not accepted by the I. G. of P.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

If approved, the copy of the letter will be sent to the I. G. of Police & the circumstances under which the claim was delayed till now will be called for.

May be put for orders.

The letter is only the preliminary

3) The opinion of the I G may be called for, with his office papers on the subject ~~[illegible]~~ as to the cause of expenditure, in case payment is recommended.

NOTES—First Sheet.

13.52 write (2

G. R. 3. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Police File No. 54 of 1922

Serial No.

4) Draft sub'd.

SGB 25/9
AM 25/9

5) Issue
car 25/9

2. To
The I.G. of Police
P 2578 of 4/10/22
Sds copy of the above al/ with its enclosures and calls for his opinion along with his office papers in the matter.

Sub A 3/10. 3. From the same.
No. C 973/22 d/ 1.11.1922
States that the bills for the years 1919-20, 1920-21 & 1921-22 were accepted, countersigned & sent to the Comptr. for audit, that these Police lines are exempt from Municipal taxation as per G.O. No. L 1605-65/ M.E. 171-21-8 d/ 16.8.1920 & forwards his office file of correspondence in the matter.

6) Subd with reference to para 3
SGB 3/11

8) Please see flag - S - for the G.O. in question.
SGB 6/11

M.S. ok
Recapitulating the G.O. referred to in para 3 of the I.G.P. letter

NOTE—Contn. sh.

AM 4/11

(3

9245

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police. **File No.** 56 **of 19**22

Serial No.

S

9) It is doubtful if retrospective effect can be given to the G.O. of 16.8.22 so as to apply to the dues relating to the years 1906-07 to 1919-20, particularly when the amounts due for the subsequent years have been adjusted

7/11

10) In the absence of any stipulation to the contrary, a Govt ~~will~~ order shall be deemed to take effect from the date of the order & as such the G.O. referred to by the I.G. issuing orders exempting residential quarters from Municipal tax shall be construed as taking effect from 16th August 22 & the explanation for the abnormal delay in preferring the charges, is not ~~[illegible]~~ at all satisfactory. However, the payment is an inevitable one & has to be made. The I.G. may be informed accordingly & asked to point out a source

Gen Secy?

~~[illegible]~~

11) The I.G.P. has made a reference obviously to anticipate the appeal of the D. which he himself has suggested to him

Note—Contn. sheet.

G R & III-3]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 54 of 1922

Serial No.

12) That appeal will obviously be made to the Local Boards Branch. Accordingly it has to be ascertained whether any such reference to Govt has actually been made by ~~first~~ the DC

15/11/22

L. 2493 16 NOV 1922 LOCAL SELF GOVT BRANCH.

13) For favour of remarks as to whether a reference in the matter has been by the D.C.

L. S. G. Br.

15/11

14) No such reference has been received from the Dy Commr [illegible] L. S. G. Branch.

17.11.22

Protection Branch

17/11/22

Gen Secy

17/11

15) The first reference in this case to Government the Deputy Commissioner of the [illegible]dur District dated 9th September 1922 is addressed to the Revenue Secretary to Government, evidently because the Deputy Commissioner thought he was making a reference to Government on the municipal side. The Inspector General of Police quotes the Government Order of

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. of 19 22

Serial No.

August 1922 and says that in the light of the above Government Order nothing is due to the Municipality. In the Secretariat notes, ~~two~~ the view~~s~~ ha~~ve~~s been suggested: ~~(1)~~ that retrospective effect cannot be given to the G.O. of 16th August 1922 and ~~therefore~~ that the G.O. will have effect only from the date of the order. It appears to me that if the G.O. of the 16th August 1922 is read by itself it would have retrospective effect because the G.O. only purports to interpret the Municipal Regulation which is in force all along. But as there is a previous Government Order dated 31st January 1913 which has been modified by the order of the 16th August 1922 it appears that the order dated 31st January 1913 should be given effect to, until the new government order of 16th August 1922 was passed. However, the Inspector General of Police is of a different opinion as already stated above and the file is forwarded with compliments to the Revenue Secretary to Government for favour of opinion as to whether the Inspector General of Police is correct in his interpretation of the ~~16th~~ order of the 16th August 1922 as put forward in his letter to Government of 28th October 1922.

Rev. Secy
with compliments

2/12/22

NOTE—Cont

2265

1437 6

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Plain File No. 54 of 1912

Serial No.

16) Submitted for orders

5/12/12

17) Information may kindly be furnished on the following points:

1) What is the object with which these Police Lines have been constructed? Is there anything in the Police Regulation or the Police Rules requiring Police Constables to live in these lines in the interests of the Public service?

2) Are these lines allowed to be occupied rent free?

3) Have these lines been provided for only in places where there is difficulty for rented houses or in all places?

Protection Branch)

8/12/12

3/ 18) Subd.

Rule 96 of the Police Manual enjoins that every constable should reside in lines.

In rule 121 also these lines are mentioned in connection with rural & town Police stations

Lines have been provided.

Note—Contn. sh

2245 (7)

G. R. S. III-2].

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 54 of 1922

Serial No.

for the Provincial Reserve Police stationed in Bangalore City and for the Police officers & men in the K. G. F., and in certain other places such as Mudigere, Koppa, where rented buildings could not be had for love or money.

SBB 12/12

19) If necessary, the IG of Police will be written to for definite information

APR 14/12

20) [illegible] 15/12

M.S.R. 15/12

21) Draft, subd, for orders

SBB 19/12

APR 28/12

22) [illegible] 28/12

4 To

The I. G. of Police

L. r. p. 5244 d 6-1-23

requesting for information on certain points

From

The I. G. P

No C 973/22 d 16/1/23

Furnishes the information required above

23) Subd with reference to the queries

Note—Contn. sheet.

8

3622
P

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 56 of 1922

Serial No.

of the Asst Secy. L.S.G.
on page 6

24) The information was required by the Asst Secy L.S.G. Branch vide para 17 supra
18/1/23

19/1

Asst Secy. L.S.G. with compliments }

20/1

1923 BRANCH

Submitted for orders
22/1

The I.G. of Police does not seem to be right in thinking that the G.O. of 16th has retrospective effect inasmuch as there was the G.O. of 31st Jany 1913 in force till the date of that order. The former G.O. expressly modifies the latter G.O. In this view the arrears of taxes claimed will have to be paid by the Police Dept under the G.O. of 31st Jany 1913.

Submitted with reference to para 15

(Rev Sec:)

29. 1. 23

4968
5. 2. 23

(Revenue Member) I agree. 30/1/23

The Municipality has not charged the tax at any time & the [illegible] have not paid [illegible] Police buildings: the claim is to be disallowed as it is [illegible] under the Regulation.

13

14/4/23

3627

9

No. 1528/22-23

Office o[illegible] Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.
Chikmagalur, Dated 13th March 1923.
15

Dear Sir,

I beg to invite your kind reference to this office letter No.O.C.1528/20-21 dated the 9th September 1922, and subsequent two D.O. letters dated the 9th December 1922 and 10th February 1923, soliciting orders of Government to the payment of Rs 138-2-0 by the Police Department towards the arrears of Municipal taxes due on the Police lines at Mudgere and to request that you may be pleased to move Government to pass orders in the matter at an early date.

Yours sincerely,
14.3.23.
District Superintendent of
Police in charge of the District Treasury
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.
(Absent from station).

To
T.E. Jeyaram Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

362 2693 4046

60

G. R. S. III-2]

Nothing is pending in the S.B. Branch

(PR-54-22)

The file was sent to the S.B. Branch on 22/1/23 & acknowledged by Mr [illegible]

16-2-23

15/2

To the Supdt LSG Br, with compts.

15/2

The Police file 58-32 is hence [illegible] [illegible] to [illegible]

[illegible] 1924
L.S.G. GOVT.
BRANCH.

28-2-23

Called for perusal.
The file will be returned to the LSG Br for further action proposed by Sr. Law Secretary

Ref 176

G 1528
20-21

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KADUR DISTRICT

Chikmagalur,
10th Feb. 1923.

[illegible] 29/12
30/1 A.S.
4125
P
12/2/23

~~Dear~~ Sir,

I write to invite your kind --
reference to this office letter No.O.C.152
20-21 dated the 9th September 1922 and
quent D.O. letter dated the 9th December
1922, soliciting orders of Government to
payment of Rs 138-2-0 by the Police Depar
towards the arrears of taxes due on the
Police lines at Mudgere, and request that
Government may kindly be moved to pass e
orders in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

M. G. Rao

To

T.E.Jeyaram Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Subd
The main file as per
markings in the Branch Dy
is before the Asst. Secy.
This will be included therein
after its return to the Branch
12/2.

The file has been sent
for opinions
(to the A.S.G. Pr)

That cannot be
[illegible]
[illegible]

3637/p 3627 2483.
~~3628~~/p Votes

60

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 54 of 1922

Serial No.

Submitted to the General Secretary with reference to para 15 above.

14.2.23

As the original letter of the Dt. court is addressed to Govt. in L.S.G. Dept. final orders may be issued in that dept. according to the orders of the 2nd member if there is no objection

24.2.23

Rev. Secy with Compts. } Draft 26/2/23

26.2.23

Draft is subd for appl.

5-3.23 Rev. Sec.)

16.3.23.

Issue

16.3.23.

2 To the D.C. Kadur Dt

No. L 6685 d/ 29.3.23

States that the claim of the Mudigere municipality for municipal taxes on police lines should be disallowed

Note—Contn. sheet.

17257

1536 II

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KADUR DISTRICT.

Police

Dated Chikmagalur, 9th September 1922.

L/1625-22

JUDICIAL & ... SECRETARIAT.
13 SEP 1922

No. W.C. 1528 of 1920-21
Enc. one bill + one copy

FROM
M.G. KRISHNASWAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Kadur District.

To
T.E. JEYARAM IYER, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

2 SEP 1922

Sir,

In submitting herewith a bill for Rs 155-10-0 being the Municipal taxes due on the Police lines at Mudgere for the years 1906-07 to 1919-20, I have the honour to state that on a requisition made to the Inspector General of Police to kindly arrange for the payment of the amount, the bill was passed by him for Rs 17-8-0 only towards the tax for 1919-20, and ~~the bill~~ it was audited by the Comptroller for the same amount. The bill was retransmitted to the Inspector General of Police with a request that ~~the bill~~ it may kindly be for the full amount claimed therein, and got audited Comptroller for a further sum of Rs 138-2-0. But the

12

bill was returned without further countersignature as it included liability for old claims which couldnot be accepted. The Inspector General was intimated that there was a long delay on behalf of the Municipality in claiming the taxes, and that some time was spent latterly in correspondence between this office and the Municipal office, as had already been explained to him in this office letter No.O.C.1528/20-21 dated the 11th December 1921, and he was therefore requested to pass the bill for the balance of Rs 138-2-0 by obtaining sanction of Government, if necessary. The bill was again returned to this office with an intimation that orders of Government may be solicited direct from this office; a copy of letter No.D.2.Dis.571/22 dated the 18th August 1922 -- received from the Inspector General of Police is herein enclosed. In the circumstances referred to above, I request that Government may be kindly pleased to accord their sanction to the payment of the balance of Rs 138-2-0 not accepted by the Inspector General of Police. The Municipal office is unable to explain the cause for the inordinate delay in in claiming the arrears.

I have the honour to be,

Your most obedient servant,

M. G. Krishnaswami

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT...

Diary No. of letter replied to 1536/4

Section Police.
File No. 54–22
Serial No 2

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Police.)
the 191

No. P 2578 (Encl 3)

Date of issue 6/11/22

Signed by

To
The I. G. of Police
in Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding herew
a copy of letter No. O.C. 152
1920-21 dt/ 9th Sept. 1922 a
its enclosures^x in original f
the Dy. Commr., Kadur, &
relating to the Gift fro
Rs 155-10-0 on account of ~~[illegible]~~ re
cipal. taxes for ~~[illegible]~~ th
Police Lines at Aldigen

x to be returned with the reply

15

from 1906-07 to 1919-20, I am directed to request you to be so good as to ~~favour~~ furnish Govt with your opinion ~~recommendation~~, along with your office papers, in the matter. In case payment is recommended, the source from which the concerned expenditure may also be kindly indicated.

I have &c.

25/9

for Genl Secy

25/9

List of enclosures.

opied by

Examined by

21098

Police 54-22-3

2245/P 16

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No. C 973/2

Dated Bangalore., ~~28th October~~ 1922
1-11

Encls. 3 files
1 bill
1 letter

REV. SECT.
10 NOV 1922

From

A. Subrahmanya Iyer, Esqr., B.A.,
The Inspector-General of Police in Mysore
Bangalore.

To

B. Venkoba Rao, Esqr., B.A.,
~~Chief~~ *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

General Department.

Sir,

In returning herewith as desired in your letter No. P Pol 54-22-2 of the 4th instant, the Municipal Tax bill for Rs 155-10-0 for Police Lines at Mudegere, sent to you with K Deputy Commissioner's letter No. G.C. 1528 of the 9th Septem 1922. I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner Kadur claimed payment of Municipal Taxes amounting to Rs1 for the first time in his letter No. 1528 of the 12th Decem 1921, for the years ranging from 1906-07 to the year 1919-20. He sent up another bill for Rs35/- for similar taxes for the years 1920-21 and 1921-22 with his letter of the 20th Januar 1922. The latter bill was accepted in full for Rs35/- and out of the former bill for the amount of Rs155-10-0 claimed as taxes due for fourteen years i.e., from 1906-07 to 1919-20 the tax for only one year viz 1919-20 was accepted for Rs17-8-0 and the bills were countersigned and sent to the Comptroller fo after which they were subsequently returned to the Dep Commissioner with this Office No. D2 Dis 226 of the 15th Jul

2. The Deputy Commissioner thereupon wrote to me re ...

17 returning the bill countersigned and audited for 17-
me to countersign for the unaccepted balance of R138-2-0 also in his letter No. 1528 dated 25th July 1922. I replied to him in my letter No. C.280 of the 28th July 1922 that the bills were countersigned for the claims that were within time and that liability for old claims ~~cannot~~ could not be accepted, as the taxes said to be due not having been claimed in time but so late as December 1921 for the first time.

3. The Deputy Commissioner while admitting in his letter No.1528 of the 15th August 1922 that there was long delay on behalf of the Municipality in claiming the arrears, urged that as latterly the matter was under correspondence between his office and the Municipal Office as the claim for the balance of Rs138-2-0 might be countersigned. I then referred him to Government if my views were not acceptable to him.

The file ~~and~~ of* correspondence on the subject is enclosed as desired, as per margin for reference and return when no longer required.

*1.This office file	D2 Dis 3206/21	3	papers.
2. do	D2 Dis 225/22	1	"
3. do	D2 Dis 571/22	4	"

4. Quite recently the Government have ordered in G.O. No. L1605-65 Ml.171-21-8 of the 16th August 1922 that in the matter of levy of Municipal taxes on public buildings, that if the duties of an Officer are such that he should live in close proximity to a public institution and has no choice in the matter and if he is provided with free Government Quarters on that account, his occupation of such quarters, would be for a public purpose and such buildings ought to be exempted from Municipal taxation under Proviso (a) to section 59 of the Mysore Municipal Regulation.

In the light of the above Government Order these Police Lines come under the head, public buildings exempted from Municipal taxation and as such nothing is due to the Municipality.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

18

G. R. S. VI—G/82]

SUBJECT……………………………………………

Diary No. of letter replied to—2245

Section Police
File No. [illegible]
Serial No 4

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Police)
the 191

5444

Date of issue 4/1/23

Signed by—

To The I. G. of Police in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. C.9773/22-23/ 16th Novr 1922 re the Municipal taxes for the Police Lines in Mudigere, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish information on

6067.

R 2/1

the follg points:—

1) What is the object with which these Police Lines have been constructed? Is there anything in the Police Regulation or the Police Rules requiring Police constables to live in these [illegible] in the interests of the public service?

2) Are these lines allowed to be occupied rent free

or 3) Have these lines been provided for only in places where there is difficulty for rented houses or in all places?

A very early reply is requested

For Genl. Secy

19/12

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

S 3627/P 10

28034

No G 973/22.

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore,
Dated, Bangalore, the 15th January 1923.

From

P.F. Bowring, Esqr.,
Inspector General of Police, in Mysore,

To

G. Aravamuda Iyengar, Esqr., B.A., B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
General Department.

JAN 1923
17/1

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. P. 5454/Pol 54-22-4 dated the 4th current, calling for information on certain points about Police Lines, I have the honor to state that these lines have been constructed with the object of mobilizing Officers and men at a moments call in case of emergency and to promote the Espirit de-corp of the Force. These are just like barracks built for the residence of Soldiers in the Military Department. In Rule 93 of Police Manual Vol I, the constables have been enjoined to reside in Line-houses built for the purpose. There is an old Circular in the Department (issued by Mr. Carr) that if a constable refuses to live in the line house, a monthly rent of Re-1/- should be recovered from him as a punishment till he occupies it. The word "necessaries" used in Section 32 of the Police Regulation of 1908 will include lodgings also provided to a Police Officer for the execution of his office.

2. These Line-houses are allowed to be occupied rent free. In this connection, I invite your kind reference to Government order no. G 28548-58/ Pol 160-17-8 dated the 30th June 1920, exempting all the Police Officers of the rank of Duffedars and below from payment of rents for Government Quarters occupied by

3. These Line   t been constructed in all places but

21

only in places where rented houses are not available or where rent is prohibitive, in unhealthy tracts as also in places where Police Stations are built on the road side, far from the villages for the safty of the travelling public.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Asst to the Inspector General of Police.

For Inspector General of Police

Absent from Head Quarters.

From

The Inspector General of Poli

in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore
General Department.

Dated 15th January 1923.

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

G. R. S. VI—G/82]

SUBJECT..

....

....

Diary No. of letter replied to 4046

Section M.L.
File No. 176-22
Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 191

No. L 6683 Genl. Memo

To
By Commr., Kadur Dt.
The ~~I. G. of Police in Mysore~~

Date of issue 20/7/22

Signed by

With ref. to ~~the corres-~~
~~pondence ending with~~ your letter
O.C. 1528 A/920-21 dated 9th Sept. 22
~~No. 97/3 of 22 dated 18th Jan. 192~~
regarding the claim of the Mun
gee Sunthy for payment of the
taxes on the Police Lines at
the place, I am directed to
state that, ~~as Govt. have not paid~~
~~taxes for the Police buildings~~

over.

at any time. The claim of [illegible]
should be
[illegible] [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible].
allowed, as it is untenable under
the provisions of the [illegible]
Rock. The ~~original papers of [illegible]~~
enclosure returned. I have to

~~As the Municipality have not charged and have not paid as the case [illegible] to other buildings so any [illegible]. The enclosure to your letter under reply.~~

Memo No. L 6686

Copy forwarded to the [illegible] for information with reference to correspondence ending with his letter No. C 973/22 of 15.1.23. The enclosure to his letter No. C 973/22 are also herewith returned

5.3.23

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by